PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference S30822PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below	
International application No. PCT/EP2005/003319	International filing date (day/month/year) 30 March 2005 (30.03.2005)	Priority date (day/month/year) 01 April 2004 (01.04.2004)	
International Patent Classification (8th See relevant information in Form F	n edition unless older edition indicated) PCT/ISA/237		
Applicant SCHMIDT, Christian	·		

1.	This international preliminary re International Searching Authori		er I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the
2.	This REPORT consists of a total	al of 11 sheets, including this	cover sheet.
<u>:</u>	In the attached sheets, any refer to the international preliminary	-	f the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference ster I) instead.
3.	This report contains indications	relating to the following iter	ns:
	Box No. I	Basis of the report	•
	Box No. Π	Priority	·
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of op- applicability	inion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of inventio	n
	Box No. V		er Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial descriptions supporting such statement
	Box No. VI	. Certain documents cited	
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the inte	ernational application
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on t	he international application
4.	The International Bureau will conot, except where the applicant date (Rule 44his .2).	ommunicate this report to demakes an express request un	signated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but der Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority
			Date of issuance of this report 04 October 2006 (04.10.2006)
	The International Bur		Authorized officer
	34, chemin des Co 1211 Geneva 20, S		Ellen Moyse

e-mail: pt05@wipo.int

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

CORRECTED VERSION

INTE	RNATIONAL SEARCHING AUT	HORITY	•	
To:				PCT
				19 /
	and form DOTAGA DOG		WRIT	TEN OPINION OF THE
	see form PCT/ISA/220		INTERNATIO	VAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY
			(1	PCT Rule 43bis.1)
			(-	•
			Date of mailing	
	•		(day/month/year) se	e form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)
. , ,	icant's or agent's file reference form PCT/ISA/220		FOR FURTHER See paragraph 2 belo	
		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
	national application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 01.04.2004
	Γ/EP2005/003319,	30,03.2005	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	01.04.2004
	national Patent Classification (IPC)	or both national classification	and IPC	••
B26	F1/28			
	icant		•	
SCH	HMIDT, Christian		•	-
1.	This opinion contains indica	ations relating to the foll	owing items:	
••	·			
	Box No. 1 Basis of the	opinion		
	☐ Box No. II Priority	the state of the second terms of the second te		
			ard to novelty, inventi	ve step and industrial applicability
	1 <u></u>	of Invention	a 1/a\/ii with rogard to	envolte inventive stan or industrial
		citations and explanations		novelty, inventive step or industrial tement
	☐ Box No. VI Certain docu			
	Box No. VII Certain defe	cts in the international app	olication ·	
	Box No. VIII Certain obse	ervations on the internation	nal application	
2.	FURTHER ACTION			· .,
۷.				
	If a demand for international p	reliminary examination is I onal Preliminary Examinin	made, this opinion wil a Authority ("IPEA"). I	l usually be considered to be a lowever, this does not apply where
	the applicant chooses an Auth	ority other than this one to	be the IPEA and the	chosen IPEA has notifed the
	International Bureau under Ruwill not be so considered.	le 66.1 <i>bis</i> (b) that written c	opinions of this interna	ational Searching Authority
		, ,, ,,		
	If this opinion is, as provided a	tbove, considered to be a aply together, where appro	written opinion of the opriate, with amendme	IPEA, the applicant is invited to ants, before the expiration of three
	months from the date of mailir	g of Form PCT/ISA/220 or	r before the expiration	of 22 months from the priority date,
	whichever expires later.	• ··	,	•
	For further options, see Form	PCT/ISA/220.		•
3,	For further details, see notes t	o Form PCT/ISA/220.		
J.				
Nam	e and mailing address of the ISA:	•	Authorized Officer	states Petentes



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 Canelas, R.F.

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2367



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/003319

	Box N	o. I Basis of the opinion
1.		egard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in aguage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	· la	his opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search nder Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.		egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and sary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type	e of material:
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. forn	nat of material:
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c. time	of filing/furnishing:
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	ha co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as opropriate, were furnished.
4.	Additio	onal comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/003319

	ox No. IV	Lack of unity of	inventior	1		
. 🛛	In resp	oonse to the invitatio	n (Form F	CT/ISA/200	to pay additional fees, the applicant has	:
		paid additional fee	s.			
		paid additional fee	s under pr	otest.		
		not paid additional	fees.		•	
2. 🗆		uthority found that the plicant to pay addition	•	ment of un	y of invention is not complied with and ch	ose not to invite
3. Th	is Autho	rity considers that th	ne requirer	nent of uni	of invention in accordance with Rule 13	.1, 13.2 and 13.3
	complie	d with				
×	not com	plied with for the fol	lowing rea	isons:		
	see se	eparate sheet	_		•	
			•			
l. Ço	onsequer	ntly, this report has t	oeen estat	olished in re	spect of the following parts of the internat	tional application:
l. Co □	onsequer all pa <u>r</u> ts	•	oeen estat	olished in re	spect of the following parts of the internat	tional application:
	all parts	•		olished in re	spect of the following parts of the internat	tional application:
	all parts			olished in re	spect of the following parts of the internat	tional application:
□ ⊠ B(all parts the part	s relating to claims l Reasoned state	Nos. 1-67 ment und	er Rule 43	eis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventors supporting such statement	·
Boin	all parts the part	s relating to claims l Reasoned state	Nos. 1-67 ment und	er Rule 43	ois.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, invent	·
Bo in	all parts the part ox No. V	s relating to claims in Reasoned state applicability; citati	Nos. 1-67 ment und	er Rule 43 explanation	ois.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, invent	·
Bo in	all parts the part ox No. V dustrial atement	s relating to claims in Reasoned state applicability; citati	Nos. 1-67 ment und ons and e	er Rule 43 explanation	ois.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, invent s supporting such statement	
Boin No	all parts the part ox No. V dustrial atement	s relating to claims in Reasoned state applicability; citati	Nos. 1-67 ment und ons and e	er Rule 43 explanation Claims Claims Claims	ois.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, invents supporting such statement 1-50,52-67 51 1-50,52-67	·
Boin No	all parts the part ox No. V dustrial atement ovelty (N)	s relating to claims in Reasoned state applicability; citati	Nos. 1-67 ment und ons and e	er Rule 43 explanation Claims Claims	ois.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, invents supporting such statement 1-50,52-67 51	

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/003319

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

PCT/EP2005/003319

The application lacks unity (R. 13 PCT) for the following reasons:

The independent claims of the application are:

Claim 1 - A method of forming a structure in a region of an electrically insulating substrate by causing dielectric breakdown of the substrate and using additional energy to increase the temperature in said region so as to reduce the amplitude of voltage necessary to cause dielectric breakdown and with a feedback regulation of the voltage/current.

Claim 51 - A method of forming a structure in a region of an electrically insulating substrate by causing dielectric breakdown of the substrate using a "small voltage" and *WITHOUT* using additional energy to reduce the amplitude of voltage necessary to cause dielectric breakdown.

Claim 52 - A device for forming a structure in a region of an electrically insulating substrate comprising two electrodes connected to a voltage supply and means to apply energy, to said substrate, such means being one or two electrode or an additional heat source. This device is only optionally suitable for the performance of a method according to a previous method claim.

Claim 53 - Same as claim 52, but without the option of an additional heat source.

Claim 68 - An electrically insulating substrate having a structure or array of structures produced by the method (which is the same in patent practice as a product as <u>obtainable</u> <u>by</u> the method) according to any of claims 1-51.

Claim 73 - Use of a substrate according to one of claims 68-70 or a device incorporating it for certain purposes.

Prior art as described in documents US-4,777,338 [D1] or US-6,348,675 [D2] disclose electrically insulating substrates perforated by spark discharge which are not distinguishable from those which can be produced by the method of claims 1-51 of the application. These substrates are consequently obtainable by the same method. Such a substrate is therefore known.

International application No.

PCT/EP2005/003319

The remaining features of claims 69-73, distinguishing it from common prior art and more closely defining the substrates, have nothing in common with the claimed methods or the devices; vis-a-vis the prior art disclosed in D1 and D2, solve particular application problems of the substrate whereas claims 1-67 solve manufacturing problems. In the absence of a common problem and different distinguishing features vis-a-vis common prior art (which can be potential special technical features), there is no relationship to be established between the said inventions, which involves a single general inventive concept.

Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following document/s/:

D1: US-4,777,338 D2: US-6,348,675

Claims 1-50

Document US-4,777,338, which can be regarded as the description of the closest prior art for the subject-matter of claim 1, discloses a method of forming a structure (perforation) in an electrically insulating substrate (synthetic plastic film) comprising the steps of a) providing an electrically insulating substrate, b) applying, by means of a voltage supply (36) a voltage across a region of said electrically insulating substrate, said voltage being sufficient to give rise to a significant increase in electrical current through said region and to dielectric breakdown thorough said region, and c) applying energy (heat, the film is submerged in hot water, see column 3, lines 38-49) to said substrate so as to increase the temperature of said region, said energy originating from an energy heat source (the water or the implicit heating device which heats it), said energy being applied so as to reduce the amplitude of voltage required in step b) to give raise to said current increase and/or to soften the material of said region [said effect is not explicitly referred to in D1, but it should occur, as understandable from the experiments of the present application].

International application No.

PCT/EP2005/003319

The subject-matter of claim 1 is distinguished therefrom in that

said step b) is performed using an electronic feedback mechanism operating according to user-predefined parameters, said electronic feedback mechanism controlling the properties of said applied voltage and/or said electrical properties [the claim does not specify which properties].

The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore new (Art. 33(2)PCT).

A feedback control of the tension/current has following effects, according to the applicant:

- current and voltage driven melting/evaporation/removal pf the substrate occurs in a controlled manner, diameter of the hole can be precisely defined;

Document US-6,348,675 discloses also a method of perforating plastic film by spark discharge, wherein the number of spark discharges is controlled by monitoring the poreopening discharge spark and subsequent discharge sparks within one of the high voltage pulses by setting a threshold value. The pulse is cut off at a point when the desired number of penetrating spark discharges has been detected (col 3, lines 28-36). In this way pores of uniform diameter are produced. Therefore this document discloses a spark discharge provoking dielectric breakdown using an electronic mechanism, according to user-predefined parameters (in this case the threshold value S defined in D2, see col. 2, line 14). The length of the pulse can be considered as "a property of the applied voltage".

The question which is now posed is whether the skilled person would straightforwardly consider applying the teaching of D2 to D1.

D2 discloses that the electrodes (disks 60) press the film against the bottom of the tank, the strength of the gap between the electrodes is essentially that of film 4 (transition paragraph col.4-col.5). In D1 there is a length of a spark gap preferably between 2 and 30 mm and the film is not in contact with the electrodes. The film is not immersed in any fluid.

Since the conditions are very different, it would not be straightforward for the skilled person to combine the teaching of the two documents and the subject-matter of claim 1 involves

an inventive step (Art. 33(3) PCT). It is noted that this conclusion is only valid in case step c) is initiated before step b), which is regarded as an essential feature, see §VIII.

Claims 2-50 are dependent from claim 1 and therefore also meet the criteria of the PCT regarding novelty and inventive step.

Claim 51

D2 discloses a method of spark perforation of an electrically insulating substrate including steps a) and b) of claim 1. There is a feedback for controlling the length of the pulse and therefore a "property of the voltage." What exactly is "a small voltage" is not defined in the claim.

The subject-matter of claims 51 is therefore not new.

Claims 52-67

D1 discloses a device for forming a structure in a region of an electrically insulating substrate, comprising two electrodes (54,60) connected to a voltage supply (36). Furthermore it comprises means to apply energy, which are the electrodes themselves.

The subject-matter of independent claim 52 (assuming that it is clarified, see §VIII, to include the feature that the voltage supply has means for feedback controlling the voltage by monitoring the transsubstrate current), is distinguished therefrom by this feature and therefore new (Art. 33 (2) PCT). Furthermore it involves an inventive step (Art. 33(3)PCT), as the use of means for feedback controlling the voltage by monitoring the transsubstrate current in an apparatus for spark perforation results in more precision in the control of hole diameter and is not disclosed in any of the documents of the pertinent documentation.

The subject-matter of independent claim 53 is new and involves an inventive step for the same reasons.

International application No.

PCT/EP2005/003319

Claims 54-67 are dependent from claims 52 or 53 and therefore also meet the criteria of the PCT regarding novelty and inventive step.

The subject-matter of the application has industrial use.

Re Item VII

Certain defects in the international application

The features of the claims are not provided with reference signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).

Independent claims (for a listing see §IV) are not in the two-part form in accordance with Rule 6.3(b) PCT, which in the present case would be appropriate, with those features known in combination from the prior art (documents D2, D1) being placed in the preamble (Rule 6.3(b)(i) PCT) and with the remaining features being included in the characterising part (Rule 6.3(b)(ii) PCT).

Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the document D1 is not mentioned in the description, nor are these documents identified therein.

Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the documents D1 and D2 is not mentioned in the description, nor are these documents identified therein.

Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application

Claim 1 lacks the essential feature that step c) is initiated before step b), as otherwise the temperature of said region will not be increased.

Since independent claim 1 does not contain this feature it does not meet the requirement following from Article 6 PCT taken in combination with Rule 6.3(b) PCT that any independent claim must contain all the technical features essential to the definition of the

International application No.

PCT/EP2005/003319

invention.

Claim 51 is unclear because it references claim 1 and in claim 1 it is not clear whether the performance of step b) under a feedback control is actually part of step b). It is assumed in this examination that it is part of step b). Furthermore it is unclear because what a "small voltage is" is not defined in the claim.

Claim 52 is unclear because the expression "a voltage supply which can be controlled by a transsubstrate current" is vague. It should be clarified to state that *the voltage supply has means for feedback controlling the voltage by monitoring the transsubstrate current*.